

Corporate Governance Statement – 2025

Approach to corporate governance

MPR Australia Limited is committed to achieving and demonstrating high standards of corporate governance, consistent with the size of the company and the nature of its activities.

As a listed entity, the company must comply with the Corporations Act 2001 (Corporations Act), the Australian Securities Exchange Listing Rules (ASX Listing Rules) and other laws.

ASX Listing Rule 4.10.3 requires ASX listed companies to report on the extent to which they have followed the recommendations (**Recommendations**) in the Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations (4th edition) published by the ASX Corporate Governance Council. For the year ended 30 June 2025, MPR is reporting on the extent to which it has followed the recommendations in the 4th Edition of the Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations.

Except as noted below, and as detailed within this corporate governance statement, the company considers that its governance practices complied throughout the year ended 30 June 2025 with each of the recommendations.

This statement is current as at 18 September 2025 and has been approved by the board of the company.

ASX Principle 1: Lay solid foundations for management and oversight

A listed entity should clearly delineate the respective roles and responsibilities of its board and management and regularly review their performance.

Recommendation 1.1

A listed entity should have and disclose a board charter setting out:

- (a) the respective roles and responsibilities of its board and management; and**
- (b) those matters expressly reserved to the board and those delegated to management.**

The board is accountable to the shareholders for the company's performance and governance. Management is responsible for implementing the company's strategy and objectives, and for carrying out the day-to-day management and control of the company's affairs.

The company has followed this recommendation and adopted a board charter that can be found on the MPR website at www.mpraustralia.com.au/corporategovernance.

Recommendation 1.2:

A listed entity should:

- (a) undertake appropriate checks before appointing a director or senior executive, or putting someone forward for election, as a director; and**
- (b) provide security holders with all material information in its possession relevant to a decision whether or not to elect or re-elect a director.**

The company's policy is for the board to vet each new candidate for appointment as a director. In cases where existing board members do not have personal knowledge of a candidate's background, checks on candidates are made by seeking character references from knowledgeable sources. The sourcing of director candidates is typically achieved through outsourcing the appointment to a 3rd party executive search firm specialising in director recruitment who ensure all appropriate checks are completed.

Security holders are provided with a summary of each candidate's experience and qualifications in notices of meeting proposing the election or re-election of directors. In the case of candidates standing for re-election, the candidate's experience and qualifications and period in office is disclosed in the company's annual reports as well as the relevant notice of meeting.

Recommendation 1.3:

A listed entity should have a written agreement with each director and senior executive setting out the terms of their appointment.

Each non-executive director on joining the board receives a letter of appointment detailing the terms and conditions of the appointment, including remuneration, indemnity and insurance arrangements and key corporate policies. Existing non-executive directors have entered into an agreement with the company setting out their obligations in respect of disclosing their interest in shares in the company or contracts with the company. Two of the three existing non-executive directors have not entered into agreements setting out the terms of their appointment as they were appointed before ASX corporate governance recommendations came into effect. All future non-executive directors will have agreements in place prior to commencing with MPR. Each

executive director or other senior executive other than the Chairman has a detailed agreement setting out the terms of their appointment.

Recommendation 1.4:

The company secretary of a listed entity should be accountable directly to the board, through the chair, on all matters to do with the proper functioning of the board.

The company secretary reports to and takes directions from the chairman on all matters to do with supporting the proper functioning of the board. The company has adopted this recommendation.

Recommendation 1.5:

A listed entity should:

- (a) have and disclose a diversity policy***
- (b) through its board or a committee of the board set measurable objectives for achieving gender diversity in the composition of its board, senior executives and workforce generally; and***
- (c) disclose in relation to each reporting period;***
 - 1. the measurable objectives set for that period to achieve gender diversity;***
 - 2. the entity's progress towards achieving those objectives; and***
 - 3. either:***
 - a. the respective proportions of men and women on the board, in senior executive positions and across the whole workforce (including how the entity has defined "senior executive" for these purposes); or***
 - b. if the entity is a "relevant employer" under the Workplace Gender Equality Act, the entity's most recent "Gender Equality Indicators", as defined in and published under that Act.***

The company has adopted a diversity policy which can be found on the MPR website at www.mpraustralia.com.au/corporategovernance.

The board has adopted an approach of aspiring to achieve greater diversity and has not set measurable objectives at this time, having regard to the size and scale of the company and its relatively low number of staff.

Recommendation 1.6:

A listed entity should:

- (a) have and disclose a process for periodically evaluating the performance of the board, its committees and individual directors; and***
- (b) disclose for each reporting period, whether a performance evaluation has been undertaken in accordance with that process during or in respect of that period.***

The performance of the board, its committees and individual directors is considered on an informal, as needs basis, given that interests associated with directors have a beneficial or non-beneficial interest in a significant number of the company's issued securities.

A performance evaluation of the board, its committees and directors did not take place in the reporting period for the reasons given above.

Recommendation 1.7:

A listed entity should:

- (a) have and disclose a process for evaluating the performance of its senior executives at least once every reporting period; and**
- (b) disclose for each reporting period whether a performance evaluation has been undertaken in the reporting period in accordance with that process during or in respect of that period.**

All senior managers are subject to a performance appraisal and remuneration review at least annually. Such reviews are undertaken by the remuneration committee of the board in accordance with the company's performance-based remuneration policy, details of which are set out in the Remuneration Report on pages 7 to 15 of the company's 2025 annual report.

A performance evaluation for all senior managers place during the reporting period. The Chairman works closely with the board and senior executives and managers of the MPR Group. A performance evaluation for the Chairman was not appropriate given the nature of the role and the structure of the company.

ASX Principle 2: Structure the board to be effective and add value

The board of a listed entity should be of an appropriate size and collectively have the skills, commitment and knowledge of the entity and the industry in which it operates, to enable it to discharge its duties effectively and to add value.

Recommendation 2.1:

The board of a listed entity should:

- (a) have a nomination committee which**
 - (1) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and**
 - (2) is chaired by an independent director, and disclose:**
 - (3) the charter of the committee;**
 - (4) the members of the committee; and**
 - (5) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or**
- (b) if it does not have a nomination committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs to address board succession issues and to ensure that the board has the appropriate balance of skills, knowledge, experience, independence and diversity to enable it to discharge its duties and responsibilities effectively.**

The company does not have a board nomination committee. It has not adopted this recommendation as the practices relating to the selection and appointment of directors, detailed within this statement, are an efficient means of meeting the needs of the company, having regard to the relative size of the company which is reflected in the board structure and composition.

The board consists of five directors, two of whom are considered independent, and it is considered that MPR has the capacity to consider director nomination practices and board composition and succession issues within the duly constituted meetings of the board, and that the establishment of a formal committee structure would not add greater value to this process.

The criteria for board membership and the selection of appropriate members of the board are determined by the board itself. Election and rotation of directors is governed by the company's constitution. Shareholder approval is sought where appropriate. In determining the appointment and retirement of non-executive directors, a cross section of skills and experience is sought.

The company's constitution specifies that:

- one third of the directors (with the exception of new appointees who must retire under a different rule); and
- any director, who would have held office for more than 3 years at the time of the annual general meeting,

must retire from office at that general meeting but may stand for re-election. The Managing Director is not required to retire by rotation.

Recommendation 2.2:

A listed entity should have and disclose a board skills matrix setting out the mix of skills that the board currently has or is looking to achieve in its membership.

The company does not have a board skills matrix. The board is satisfied that it has an appropriate mix of skills for strategic decision-making and effective oversight in relation to the company's activities. The Directors' Report contained in the company's annual report discloses that the current board has a broad range of skills and qualifications in the areas of investment, business management, industrial design, information technology, law, commerce, economics and finance.

Recommendation 2.3

A listed entity should disclose:

- (a) the names of directors considered by the board to be independent directors; and***
- (b) if a director has an interest, position, or relationship of the type described in Box 2.3 of the recommendations but the board is of the opinion that it does not compromise the independence of the director, the nature of the interest, position, or relationship in question and an explanation of why the board is of that opinion; and***
- (c) the length of service of each director.***

Robert Constable and Amy Kean are considered by the board to be independent directors.

Other than as stated below, no independent director:

- is or has been employed by a group entity;
- is or has been a partner, director or senior employee of a provider of material professional services to the group;
- is or has been in a material business relationship with the group, or an officer of, or otherwise associated with, someone in such a relationship;
- is a substantial shareholder of the company or an officer of, or otherwise associated with, a substantial shareholder of the company;
- has a material contractual relationship with a group entity other than as a director;
- has close family ties with any person who falls within the above categories; or
- has been a director of the entity for such a period that his or her independence may have been compromised.

Information in relation to the period of office held by each director can be found in the Directors' Report on page 7 and 8 of the company's 2025 annual report. In the opinion of the board, in relation to each independent director, the director has demonstrated and continues to demonstrate a capacity and consistent practice of bringing an independent judgment to bear on all issues before the board, and for that reason the board does not believe that the independence of any such director may have been compromised by the length of his period in office as a director.

Recommendation 2.4:

A majority of the board of a listed entity should be independent directors.

The company adopted the recommendation for a majority of the board to be independent directors up until August 2023, at which time Robert Moran ceased to be independent (see below).

An MPR director is considered independent when he or she is independent of management (that is, non-executive), and free from any business or other relationship that could materially interfere with, or could be reasonably perceived to materially interfere

with, the exercise of his or her unfettered and independent judgement. Materiality is considered on a case by case basis by reference to the director's individual circumstances rather than general materiality thresholds.

In August 2023, Oceania Capital Partners Limited became a substantial shareholder in the Company. Robert Moran is chairman of Oceania Capital Partners Limited and therefore an officer of a substantial shareholder. Accordingly, Robert Moran is no longer considered to be independent.

At the date of this Statement, the board comprises a chairman, Peter Wise and four non-executive directors being Nathan Wise, Robert Constable, Robert Moran and Amy Kean. Robert Constable and Amy Kean are considered to be independent directors.

The board maintains protocols to ensure that any potential or actual conflicts of interest and duty are properly identified and managed, and to ensure that directors act in accordance with their fiduciary responsibilities.

Directors are able to seek independent professional advice, as appropriate, in the furtherance of their duties. Any such advice may be at the company's expense, subject to prior approval of the board.

Recommendation 2.5:

The chair of the board of a listed entity should be an independent director and, in particular, should not be the same person as the CEO of the entity.

MPR has adopted the recommendation that the roles of chairman and chief executive officer should not be exercised by the same individual.

MPR has not adopted the recommendation that the chairman of the board should be an independent director, as interests associated with the chairman hold a significant percentage of the company's issued securities.

Recommendation 2.6:

A listed entity should have a program for inducting new directors and for periodically reviewing whether there is a need for existing directors to undertake professional development to maintain the skills and knowledge needed to perform their role as directors effectively.

The company does not have a formal induction or development program for new directors. Directors are assisted by fellow directors and senior management to maintain and develop their skills and knowledge in relation to corporate governance policies and the businesses in which the company invests. All directors have direct access to the entire senior management team, including the company secretary, and are provided with information on a timely basis. The skills and knowledge of non-executive directors are also developed and maintained by their directorships with other public and private companies.

ASX Principle 3: Instil a culture of acting lawfully, ethically and responsibly

A listed entity should instil and continually reinforce a culture across the organisation of acting lawfully, ethically and responsibly.

Recommendation 3.1:

A listed entity should articulate and disclose its values.

The company has not followed this recommendation.

Recommendation 3.2:

A listed entity should:

- (a) have and disclose a code of conduct for its directors, senior executives and employees; and***
- (b) ensure that the board or a committee of the board is informed of any material breaches of that code.***

A copy of the code of conduct can be found on the MPR website at www.mpraustralia.com.au/corporategovernance.

Recommendation 3.3:

A listed entity should:

- (a) have and disclose a whistleblower policy; and***
- (b) ensure that the board or a committee of the board is informed of any material breaches of that policy.***

A copy of the policy can be found on the MPR website at www.mpraustralia.com.au/corporategovernance.

Recommendation 3.4:

A listed entity should:

- (a) have and disclose an anti-bribery and corruption policy; and***
- (b) ensure that the board or a committee of the board is informed of any material breaches of that policy.***

A copy of the policy can be found on the MPR website at www.mpraustralia.com.au/corporategovernance.

ASX Principle 4: Safeguard the integrity of corporate reports

A listed entity should have appropriate processes to verify the integrity of its corporate reports.

Recommendation 4.1:

The board of a listed entity should:

- (a) have an audit committee which:**
 - (1) has at least three members, all of whom are non-executive directors and a majority of whom are independent directors; and**
 - (2) is chaired by an independent director, who is not the chair of the board, and disclose:**
 - (3) the charter of the committee;**
 - (4) the relevant qualifications and experience of the members of the committee; and**
 - (5) in relation to each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or**
- (b) if it does not have an audit committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs that independently verify and safeguard the integrity of its corporate reporting, including the processes for the appointment and removal of the external auditor and the rotation of the audit engagement partner.**

The board has established an audit committee to assist it to ensure the truthful and factual presentation of the company's financial position.

Notwithstanding the existence of the audit committee, ultimate responsibility for the integrity of the company's financial reporting rests with the full board.

The audit committee comprises two non-executive directors: Robert Constable and Robert Moran. The committee is chaired by Robert Constable, who is an independent director and not the chairman of the board. Robert Moran is no longer an independent director.

The board considers that the skills, experience and expertise of Messrs Constable and Moran are entirely suited to the effective discharge of the responsibilities of the committee.

The board has not adopted the recommendation for the committee to maintain at least three directors or for a majority to be independent, given the nature and extent of company's activities and the relative size of the board.

The role and responsibilities of the MPR audit committee are to:

- oversee the existence and maintenance of internal controls and accounting systems;
- ensure the integrity of the financial reporting process;
- review the annual and half-yearly financial statements;
- oversee the independence of the external auditor; and
- ensure the existence of a process for identification and management of key business risks.

The committee has rights of access to management, rights to seek explanations and additional information, and access to external auditors without management being present.

The committee meets at least twice each year and reports to the board on all matters relevant to its role and responsibilities. Minutes of its meetings are made available to the full board.

The board has adopted the recommendation to establish and publish an audit committee charter which can be found on the MPR website at www.mpraustralia.com.au/corporategovernance.

The qualifications of committee members are listed in the Directors' Report at page 7 and 8 of the company's 2025 annual report.

The number of committee meetings held during the reporting period and the attendance of each member at those meetings is set out in the Directors' Report at page 8 of the company's 2025 annual report.

Recommendation 4.2:

The board of a listed entity should, before it approves the entity's financial statements for a financial period, receive from its CEO and CFO a declaration that, in their opinion, the financial records of the entity have been properly maintained and that the financial statements comply with the appropriate accounting standards and give a true and fair view of the financial position and performance of the entity and that the opinion has been formed on the basis of a sound system of risk management and internal control which is operating effectively.

The board received a declaration that complies with section 295A of the Corporations Act 2001 before approving the company's financial statements for the year ended 30 June 2025.

Recommendation 4.3:

A listed entity should disclose its process to verify the integrity of any periodic corporate report it releases to the market that is not audited or reviewed by an external auditor.

The Company has a comprehensive process for preparing, verifying and approving the full and half year financial statements, and the external auditor provides an audit opinion in accordance with auditing standards ahead of release to the market. The Company publishes additional unaudited information in the annual, half year and quarterly reports. Although this information is not externally audited, material statements in these documents are verified by the Chief Executive Officer prior to release to the market. The Board approves the full, half year and quarterly reports and any other matters that are significant in terms of MPR's policy or strategy.

ASX Principle 5: Make timely and balanced disclosure

A listed entity should make timely and balanced disclosure of all matters concerning it that a reasonable person would expect to have a material effect on the price or value of its securities.

Recommendation 5.1:

A listed entity should have and disclose a written policy for complying with its continuous disclosure obligations under the Listing Rules 3.1

A copy of the policy can be found on the MPR website at www.mpraustralia.com.au/corporategovernance.

Recommendation 5.2:

A listed entity should ensure that its board receives copies of all material market announcements promptly after they have been made.

All material announcements to the ASX are provided to the board.

Recommendation 5.3:

A listed entity that gives a new and substantive investor or analyst presentation should release a copy of the presentation materials on the ASX Market Announcements Platform ahead of the presentation.

This recommendation has been adopted and is the company's practice.

ASX Principle 6: Respect the rights of security holders

A listed entity should provide its security holders with appropriate information and facilities to allow them to exercise their rights as security holders effectively

Recommendation 6.1:

A listed entity should provide information about itself and its governance to investors via its website.

The company aims to keep shareholders informed of its performance and all major developments in an ongoing manner and has adopted this recommendation. All governance information can be found on the MPR website at www.mpraustralia.com.au/corporategovernance.

The information for investors provided on the MPR website includes:

- copies of the company's ASX announcements;
- copies of the company's annual reports and half-year reports;
- key corporate governance documents; and
- the names and brief biographical information for its directors and company secretary which are included in the annual reports.

Recommendation 6.2:

A listed entity should have an investor relations program that facilitates effective two-way communication with investors.

The company provides investors with access to information via its website in the form of ASX announcements, annual reports, half-year reports, the text of the chairman's AGM address, and news items. The company's contact details are published on its website and the executive team responds to enquiries from investors. The Chief Executive Officer attends various events over the year to provide updates to shareholders and investors and the presentations are provided to the market and also made available on the MPR website.

Recommendation 6.3:

A listed entity should disclose how it facilitates and encourages participation at meetings of security holders.

The company allows a reasonable opportunity at the AGM and other shareholder meetings for questions and comments from shareholders relating to the company and the audit of the company's annual financial report.

Recommendation 6.4:

A listed entity should ensure that all substantive resolutions at a meeting of security holders are decided by a poll rather than by a show of hands.

The company has adopted this approach where relevant. Shareholders are provided enough time to read the relevant resolutions and return their voting forms along with any questions they may have prior to the meeting.

MPR's practice is that all listing rule resolutions at security holder meetings are decided by a poll and not by a show of hands.

Recommendation 6.5:

A listed entity should give security holders the option to receive communications from, and send communications to, the entity and its security registry electronically.

The company gives its shareholders this option. The company has invited its shareholders to make an election to receive communications electronically and has provided all shareholders with an election form and reply-paid envelope for that purpose. Shareholders may contact the company's share registry for assistance in making that election online. The share registry contact details are published on the company's website.

ASX Principle 7: Recognise and manage risk

A listed entity should establish a sound risk management framework and periodically review the effectiveness of that framework.

Recommendation 7.1:

The board of a listed entity should:

- (a) have a committee or committees to oversee risk, each of which:**
 - (1) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and**
 - (2) is chaired by an independent directors,**
- and disclose:**
 - (3) the charter of the committee;**
 - (4) the members of the committee; and**
 - (5) as at the end of the reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or**
- (b) if it does not have a risk committee or committees that satisfy (a) above, disclose that fact and the processes it employs for overseeing the entity's risk management framework.**

The company does not have a risk committee separate from the audit committee. In view of the small size of the company's executive team, the limited nature and extent of company's business operations, and the tenure, experience and understanding of the company's directors, the company has established informal processes for the oversight and management of material business risks. These processes involve close monitoring by the executive team of the group's activities, and close consultation between the executive team and the board on all matters posing material risks.

Recommendation 7.2

The board or a committee of the board should:

- (a) review the entity's risk management framework at least annually to satisfy itself that it continues to be sound, and that the entity is operating with due regard to the risk appetite set by the board; and**
- (b) disclose, in relation to each reporting period, whether such a review has taken place.**

The board and senior management continuously assess the risks facing the company and consult among themselves to establish a consensus on acceptable levels of risk and measures for managing risks. In view of the informal and continuous nature of the company's risk management processes, there is no periodic review of the risk management framework.

Recommendation 7.3

A listed entity should disclose:

- (a) if it has an internal audit function, how the function is structured and what role it performs; or**
- (b) if it does not have an internal audit function, that fact and the processes it employs for evaluating and continually improving the effectiveness of its governance, risk management and internal control processes.**

The company does not have a formal internal audit function. In the opinion of the board, the risks facing the company are adequately managed and controlled by the informal processes described above, due to the small size of the executive team, the small company staff, and the limited nature and extent of the company's business operations as an investor in other entities. The effectiveness of the company's risk

management and internal control processes is continuously assessed by the board and senior executives in their close dialogue on company affairs and group performance.

Recommendation 7.4:

A listed entity should disclose whether it has any material exposure to environmental or social risks and, if it does, how it manages or intends to manage those risks.

The company discloses financial risks to which it is subject in the notes to its annual financial report, at pages 54 to 58 of the company's 2025 annual report. In addition, the group's activities are exposed to a number of business risks that indirectly pose a risk to the value of the group. The company's annual report describes the group's activities and the nature of the business and industry sector. The company has not identified any particular environmental or social risks that poses a "material exposure" for the company within the meaning of the recommendations (i.e. a real possibility that the risk in question could substantively impact the company's ability to create or preserve value for shareholders over the short, medium or long term).

ASX Principle 8: Remunerate fairly and responsibly

A listed entity should pay director remuneration sufficient to attract and retain high quality directors and design its executive remuneration to attract, retain and motivate high quality senior executives and to align their interests with the creation of value for security holders and with the entity's values and risk appetite.

Recommendation 8.1:

The board of a listed entity should:

- (a) have a remuneration committee which**
 - (1) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and**
 - (2) is chaired by an independent director, and disclose:**
 - (3) the charter of the committee;**
 - (4) the members of the committee; and**
 - (5) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or**
- (b) if it does not have a remuneration committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs for setting the level and composition of the remuneration for directors and senior executives and ensuring that such remuneration is appropriate and not excessive.**

The MPR board has established a remuneration committee which meets at least once per annum. The remuneration committee comprises two independent non-executive directors.

The roles and responsibilities of the remuneration committee are to:

- make recommendations to the board on an appropriate remuneration policy for directors and senior managers;
- undertake the performance reviews of senior managers; and
- determine the remuneration and employment terms of senior managers in accordance with the adopted remuneration policy.

Remuneration for non-executive directors is determined by the full board and is subject to limits approved by shareholders.

The board has established a remuneration committee charter which is published on the MPR website at www.mpraustralia.com.au/corporategovernance.

The committee reports to the board on all matters relevant to its role and responsibilities. Minutes of its meetings are made available to the full board.

The number of committee meetings held during the reporting period and the attendance of each member at those meetings is set out in the Directors' Report at page 8 of the company's 2025 annual report.

MPR has not adopted the recommendation to have at least three remuneration committee members. The board considers that the skills, experience and expertise of the committee are adequate and entirely suited to the effective discharge of the responsibilities of the committee, having regard to the small size of the company's executive team and the size and composition of the board.

Recommendation 8.2:

A listed entity should separately disclose its policies and practices regarding the remuneration of non-executive directors and the remuneration of executive directors and other senior executives.

The company's remuneration report is contained in the company's 2025 annual report (a copy of which is available on the company's website) at pages 7 to 15. The remuneration report discloses the company's remuneration policy for non-executive directors separately from its remuneration policy for senior managers.

Recommendation 8.3

A listed entity which has an equity-based remuneration scheme should:

- (a) have a policy on whether participants are permitted to enter into transactions (whether through the use of derivatives or otherwise) which limit the economic risk of participating in the scheme; and***
- (b) disclose that policy or a summary of it.***

The company operates an Executive Share Option Plan and an Employee Incentive Plan for eligible senior managers. Details of the Plans are set out in the remuneration report at pages 7 to 15 of the company's 2025 annual report. The company does not have a policy on whether Plan participants are permitted to enter into transactions which limit the economic risk of participating in the Plan. The board considers that such a policy is inappropriate to the company's particular circumstances and having regard to the size of the company and the size of the Plans. Also, the Corporations Act prohibits the company's key management personnel from entering into hedging arrangements in relation to unvested equity-based remuneration.